

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

-----oo0oo-----

FAUN O'NEEL, individually and as
Guardian Ad Litem for her
children B.T., A.O., D.O., and
A.T.,

Plaintiff,

v.

CITY OF FOLSOM, a public entity;
SPENSER HEICHLINGER, an
individual; MELANIE CATANIO, an
individual; LOU WRIGHT, an
individual; DOE CITY OF FOLSOM
DEFENDANTS, individuals; KERYN
STARKS, an individual; SASHA
SMITH, an individual; COUNTY OF
SACRAMENTO, a public entity; DOE
DCFAS DEFENDANTS, individuals;
and DOES 1 through 10,
inclusive,

Defendants.

No. 2:21-cv-02403 WBS DB

ORDER

-----oo0oo-----

Defendants move ex parte to extend the deadline for
filing their reply in support of their motion for summary
judgment. (Docket No. 97.) Defense counsel represents that the

1 extension is needed due to trial preparation and appearances in
2 other matters. This court previously addressed a similar request
3 and issued an order excusing the slightly late filing of
4 plaintiffs' opposition. (See Docket No. 94.)

5 Counsel for both sides would be well advised in this
6 and future matters to stipulate to resolve such trivial issues
7 rather than unnecessarily using court resources. The court also
8 observes that had defense counsel not refused to stipulate to the
9 timely filing of plaintiffs' late opposition (see Docket No. 92
10 at 7), plaintiffs' counsel might have been willing to similarly
11 stipulate here, saving time and expense for all parties.

12 Good cause appearing, the motion (Docket No. 97) is
13 hereby GRANTED. Defendants' reply shall be due on March 29,
14 2024.

15 IT IS SO ORDERED.

16 Dated: March 22, 2024



17 WILLIAM B. SHUBB
18 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28